



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/910,641             | 07/20/2001           | Perriann M. Holden   | 1827-U-01 CIP                   | 2605             |  |
| 33651                  | 7590 10/31/2003      |                      | EXAMINER                        |                  |  |
| JERRY RICHARD POTTS    |                      |                      | HOEY, ALISSA L                  |                  |  |
| 3248 VIA R<br>ESCONDID | IBERA<br>O, CA 92029 |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER           |                  |  |
| 25001.2.20, 011 72027  |                      |                      | 3765<br>DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003 | 0/31/2003 2/     |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <b>L.</b>   |   |  | $\wedge$  |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | + + 1   |
| Advisory Action   | 09/910,641  | HOLDEN, PERRIANN M.  |   |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |   |
|   | Alissa L. Hoey  | 3765   |   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence addi   | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this applica<br>) a timely filed amendment which<br>il (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel  | ition. A proper reply<br>n places the applica  | y to a<br>tion in   |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final ( | on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR  | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of  |  |   |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:   |  |   |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s   | see NOTE below);   |   |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b  | pelow);   |  |   |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or sin   | nplifying the   |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceli   | ng a corresponding number of fi   | nally rejected claims  | <b>S</b> .  |
| NOTE: claim amendments require new consider   | ation and search.   |  |   |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | tion(s):  |  |   |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed a  | amendment   |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  |   | dered but does NOT   | Γ place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY to   | o issues which were  | e newly   |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   | • • •   |  | nd an   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,10,21-32,35-41 and 44</u> .   |   |  |   |

JOHNO CALVERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-4,7-9,12-20,34,42,43,45 and 46</u>.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_